# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Milan Erben,<sup>a</sup>\* Ivana Císařová,<sup>b</sup> Jaromír Vinklárek<sup>a</sup> and Jaroslav Holeček<sup>c</sup>

 <sup>a</sup>Department of General and Inorganic
Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Technology, University of Pardubice, Nám. Čs. legií 565,
Pardubice 532 10, Czech Republic,
<sup>b</sup>Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Charles
University, Hlavova 2030, Prague 128 40,
Czech Republic, and <sup>c</sup>Institute of Polymeric
Materials, Faculty of Chemical Technology,
University of Pardubice, Nám. Čs. legií 565,
Pardubice 532 10, Czech Republic

Correspondence e-mail: milan.erben@upce.cz

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å R factor = 0.025 wR factor = 0.065 Data-to-parameter ratio = 22.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

# Trichloro( $\eta^5$ -1,2,3-trimethylcyclopentadienyl)titanium(IV)

The crystal structure of the title compound,  $[Ti(Me_3Cp)Cl_3]$ , where Me<sub>3</sub>Cp is 1,2,3-trimethylcyclopentadienyl (C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>11</sub>), was determined as part of our investigation of monocyclopentadienyltitanium(IV) trihalides. The title compound exhibits a three-legged piano-stool geometry. The Ti—ring distance is 2.0120 (7) Å. Received 21 June 2004 Accepted 28 June 2004 Online 17 July 2004

## Comment

The structure of the title compound, (I), a catalyst precursor for olefin polymerization, has been analysed.



Fig. 1 shows the  $\eta^5$  coordination of the trimethyl-substituted cyclopentadienyl ring to the TiCl<sub>3</sub> moiety, which is akin to the structures of similar half-sandwich Cp'TiCl<sub>3</sub> complexes (Cp' is a substituted cyclopentadienyl ligand).

The geometry around the Ti atom can be described as a three-legged piano-stool configuration, where the plane defined by the three Cl atoms and the plane of the cyclopentadienyl ring are almost parallel, with an angle of  $0.77 (7)^{\circ}$  between them. The distance between the Ti atom and the centre of the ring is longer than in [Ti(MeCp)Cl<sub>3</sub>] [2.0042 (15) Å] and shorter than in [Ti(Me<sub>4</sub>Cp)Cl<sub>3</sub>] [2.0151 (17) Å] or [Ti(Me<sub>5</sub>Cp)Cl<sub>3</sub>] [2.0211 (7) Å] (Kirschbaum & Giolando, 1991; Pevec, 2003).

Two of the methyl C atoms, C6 and C7, are displaced slightly out of the ring plane, away from the TiCl<sub>3</sub> fragment (Table 1). The third C atom is displaced much less, with an angle of 0.34 (10)° between the ring plane and the C5–C8 bond. This fact can be attributed to the steric hindrance caused by the Cl atoms, where the Cl2···C8 distance [3.608 (2) Å] is longer than the Cl2···C7 and Cl3···C6 distances [3.295 (2) and 3.340 (2) Å, respectively].

## **Experimental**

The title compound was prepared according to the trimethylsilylation procedure of Cardoso *et al.* (1980). (1,2,3-Trimethylcyclopentadienyl)trimethylsilane, obtained from 1,2,3-trimethylcyclopentadienyllithium (Broussier *et al.*, 1997) and chlorotrimethylsilane, was reacted with titanium tetrachloride (2% excess) in toluene. After evaporation of volatiles, the resulting sticky brown product was purified by repeated vacuum sublimation, yielding 42% of a redorange solid. Suitable crystals of (I) were obtained by sublimation at  $10^{-3}$  Pa, at a temperature of 353 K. Spectroscopic analysis: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ , p.p.m.): 6.72 (*s*, 2H), 2.40 (*s*, 6H), 2.33 (*s*, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ , p.p.m.): 139.6, 137.2, 123.5, 16.2, 13.3; <sup>49</sup>Ti NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ , p.p.m.): -225.1 (half-width 36.9 Hz); <sup>47</sup>Ti NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ , p.p.m.,): -491.4 (half-width 84.6 Hz). Elemental analysis, calculated for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>11</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>Ti: C 36.75, H 4.24, Cl 40.68%; found: C 36.28, H 4.21, Cl 40.47%.

Z = 2

 $D_x = 1.594 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation Cell parameters from 2345

reflections  $\theta = 1-27.5^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 1.47 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 150 (2) K

 $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$  mm

Prism, red

### Crystal data

$[Ti(C_8H_{11})Cl_3]$
$M_r = 261.42$
Triclinic, P1
a = 6.6790(2)  Å
b = 8.3360 (3) Å
c = 10.7120 (4) Å
$\alpha = 82.9070 \ (19)^{\circ}$
$\beta = 83.340 \ (2)^{\circ}$
$\gamma = 67.368 \ (2)^{\circ}$
V = 544.74 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>

#### Data collection

2291 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.024$
$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.6^{\circ}$
$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$
$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$
$l = -13 \rightarrow 13$

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0307P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$	+ 0.2233P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.065$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.06	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
2488 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.30 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
112 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.34 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å,°).

Ti1-Cg1	2.0120 (7)	Ti1-Cl2	2.2369 (4)
Ti1-Cl1	2.2410 (5)	Ti1-Cl3	2.2412 (4)
Cg1-Ti1-Cl2	113.93 (3)	Ce1-Ti1-Cl3	115.38 (2)
Cl1-Ti1-Cl2	102.78 (2)	Cl1-Ti1-Cl3	103.30 (2)
Cg1-Ti1-Cl1	116.41 (3)	Cl2-Ti1-Cl3	103.31 (2)
$P_r$ -C3-C6	3.59 (10)	$P_r$ -C4-C7	3.18 (10)
$P_{\rm r} - C5 - C8$	0.34 (10)	-	

Cg1 is the centroid of the cyclopenta dienyl ring and  $P_{\rm r}$  is the ring plane defined by atoms C1–C5.

All H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined riding on their parent C atoms, with C-H = 0.93 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  for



#### Figure 1

The structure of (I), showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.

cyclopentadienyl H atoms, and C-H = 0.96 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{ea}(C)$  for methyl H atoms.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998) and *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); cell refinement: *COLLECT* and *DENZO*; data reduction: *COLLECT* and *DENZO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

The authors thank the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic for financial support of this work, within the framework of research project CZ 340003.

## References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Broussier, R., Ninoreille, S., Legrand C. & Gautheron, B. (1997). J. Organomet. Chem. 532, 55–60.

Cardoso, A., Clark, R. & Moorhouse, S. (1980). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1156–1160.

Kirschbaum, K. & Giolando, D. M. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 2216-2218.

Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.

Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.

Pevec, A. (2003). Acta Chim. Slov. 50, 199-206.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.